UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,154	12/16/2005	Jozef Peter Paul Huijsmans	TS1268 US	2824
23632 SHELL OIL CO	7590 04/16/201 DMPANY	0	EXAMINER	
				ES, LADAN
100510N, 12	X 112322403		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/542,154	HUIJSMANS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LADAN MOHADDES	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ate, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this commun IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appiority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/542,154 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/09/2010 has been entered.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 3, In
- 8, "20% (v/v)of nitrogen" should read -- 20% (v/v) of nitrogen--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,154 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-7 and 10-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farooque (US Patent 4,917,971, already of record) in view of Hildebrandt et al. (US Patent 5,175,061, hereafter referred to as Hildebrandt, already of record) in further view of Nakazawa (US Patent 5,134,043).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 7, 12-16, Farooque discloses a process for the generation of electricity (Fig. 2) and the production of concentrated carbon dioxide (col 4, ln 17-26, and ln 62-65) by using a molten carbonate fuel cell (col 2, ln 64-65), the fuel cell comprising an electrolyte, an anode and a cathode, an anode chamber and a cathode

Art Unit: 1795

chamber (col 2, ln 6 to col 3, ln 11), wherein the process comprises: feeding a fuel gas to the anode chamber (col 3, ln 12-13) and a cathode inlet gas comprising carbon dioxide and molecular oxygen to the cathode chamber (col 4, ln 22-26); producing electricity (Fig. 2), an anode off-gas (Fig. 5, 56) and a cathode off-gas (Fig. 5, 61) via anode and cathode reactions (col 3, ln 12-18); wherein part of the anode off-gas is fed to a catalytic afterburner (Fig. 5, 67) wherein it is oxidized with an oxidant (Fig. 5, 68); and the remainder of the anode off-gas is recycled to the anode chamber (as applied to claims 1 and 4) (Fig. 5, 65 and 51a); wherein the cathode off-gas goes through a heat exchanger (Fig. 5, 59) and is mixed with external oxidant (Fig. 5, 62) and the mixture and anode off-gas (Fig. 5, 63 and 69) are fed to cathode through a cooling (heat exchange) assembly (col 5, ln 68 to col 6, ln 1).

Farooque does not expressly disclose that the oxidant stream comprises at most 20% (v/v) nitrogen. In the same field of endeavor, Hildebrandt teaches a high temperature fuel cell for production of electricity and CO₂ wherein the oxidant comprises 99.5% oxygen and only 0.1% nitrogen (as applied to claims 1, 7, 12-14 and 16), for the benefit of a) avoiding cathode cover up by nitrogen which decreases CO₂ conversion and reduces the efficiency of the fuel cell; b) preventing dilution of CO₂ and oxygen mixture by nitrogen; and c) eliminating the need for nitrogen removal and hence large waste gas stream (col 1, ln 35-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious for the person of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to use oxygen enriched gas with low amount of nitrogen as oxidant.

In addition, Farooque does not expressly disclose a set point for CO_2 concentration in the range of 5-40% (or 10 -30% as in claim 15) in cathode chamber outlet. Nakazawa teaches recycling CO_2 with anode off-gas to cathode chamber in a molten fuel carbonate fuel (col 2, ln 59-69). Wherein the concentration of CO_2 in the cathode inlet is high (col 2, ln 41, 30%) and the utilization factor is low (col 7, ln 52, 54.5%), rendering the concentration of the CO_2 in the cathode outlet within the range disclosed by applicant, for the benefit of improving power generation efficiency in the fuel cell (col 2, ln 47-49). Therefore, it would have been obvious for the person of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to increase the CO_2 concentration in the cathode

Regarding claim 2, Farooque discloses that anode off-gas further passes through a heat exchanger (Fig. 5, **52**) to separate water from carbon dioxide stream (col 5, ln 35-36).

Regarding claims 3 and 5, Farooque discloses that the fuel gas is hydrocarbon gas such as methane (col 3, ln 6) and is converted to hydrogen and carbon monoxide in anode chamber (Fig. 1, 2, col 3, ln 14 and col 5, ln 12).

Regarding claim 6, Farooque discloses that the fuel gas is a reformer effluent comprising hydrogen and carbon monoxide (col 5, ln 21-23).

Regarding claims 10-11, Farooque in view of Hildebrandt and in further view of Nakazawa does not expressly disclose the amount of the off-gas recycled to the anode chamber. However Hildebrandt gives an example of amount gas recycled back to anode and CO₂ amount fed back to cathode after water separation (col 3, Table 2).

Art Unit: 1795

Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan to adjust the amount of anode off-gas recycled to the anode chamber to be within the range so that optimum amount of H₂ and CO is provided to the anode. *Discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art.* In re Boesch, CCPA 1980, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ215.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LADAN MOHADDES whose telephone number is (571)270-7742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,154 Page 7

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LADAN MOHADDES/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/PATRICK RYAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795